A 32Gb/s On-chip Bus with Driver Pre-emphasis Signaling
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Abstract—A 16-bit on-chip bus with driver pre-emphasis fabricated in 0.25μm CMOS technology attains an aggregate signaling data rate of 32Gb/s over 5-10mm long lossy interconnects while reducing delay latency by 28.3%, power by 15.0%, and peak current by 70% over a conventional single-ended voltage-mode static bus. The proposed bus is robust against crosstalk noise and occupies comparable routing area to a reference static bus design.

I. INTRODUCTION

Delay, noise and power dissipation in on-chip global signaling have become critical performance metrics in scaled CMOS technologies [1]. Unlike local or intermediate interconnects, global interconnects communicate signals across a chip and do not scale down in length [2]. Conventional repeater insertion techniques have been effective at achieving lower latency and higher data throughput for on-chip RC dominated interconnects [3]. However, the number of required repeaters increases as optimal repeater insertion spacing decreases with each technology node [4]. The power dissipation and delay latency associated with repeaters themselves start to undermine the power and delay performances of global signaling.

Various techniques have been proposed for high-performance on-chip busses. In [5], a hybrid current/voltage-mode (CM/VM) bus was used to exploit the increased signaling bandwidth benefits of CM sensing while minimizing the static power dissipation, but requires a pipelined datapath to accommodate its bus processing latency. A differential CM sensing technique was used in [6], but exhibits decreased energy efficiency for low data switching activities. This work proposes a driver pre-emphasis technique for differential CM busses to improve the delay and power performance. It attains an aggregate bandwidth of 32Gb/s (2Gb/s/ch) across 5-10mm lossy on-chip interconnects in 0.25μm CMOS technology.

II. DRIVER PRE-EMPHASIS BUS TECHNIQUE

Driver pre-emphasis (i.e. transmitter equalization) techniques are commonly used to reduce inter-symbol interference (ISI) and increase channel bandwidth by emphasizing the high frequency signal components or attenuating the low frequency components [7]. Straightforward analysis of a RC dominated on-chip distributed interconnect channel, a pre-emphasis equalizer, and their combined response indicate a 3dB bandwidth improvement from 0.5GHz to 1GHz as shown in Fig. 1.

Fig. 2 shows the proposed driver pre-emphasis circuit with an equalization and a main driver path. The equalizer consists of a single-ended to differential converter, a one-tap FIR filter, and a simple DAC. The FIR filter determines whether the current symbol is different from the previous bit by using an inverter-based delay cell. The data sequence does not need to be pipelined or delayed as in [5] before appearing at the bus input because pre-emphasis is always determined by the previous symbols. The two tri-state gates P1/N1 and P2/N2 are activated only when there is a “0-1” or “1-0” transition for a 125mV signal swing (250mV differential) at the receiver input. The driver is sized according to the target data rate and interconnect parameters. Inverters “invA” and “invB” in the main signal path maintain the 125mV signal swing for consecutive “1”s or “0”s and are implemented using minimum-sized transistors.

At the receiver end, a sense-amplifier (SA) [8] is used to amplify the 125mv signal swing to single-ended CMOS output level. Unlike a PMOS based input receiver stage in [9], the Vdd/2 bias at the receiver input in this circuit allows an NMOS selection, which operates faster and exhibits a smaller latency.

![Fig. 1. Frequency responses of a distributed RC interconnect channel, pre-emphasis equalizer, and their combination.](image-url)
The bridge termination resistor (RB) at the receiver balances the differential pair and sinks as much current as it sources. It doubles the resistance of the signal current path and reduces the static current. Due to the Vdd/2 virtual ground in the middle of RB, this differential-interconnect structure maintains the same RC time constant as a single-ended line. The driver and receiver areas are around 350µm² and 500µm², respectively.

The reduced signal swings owed to CM sensing and small drivers result in 70.0% reduction in peak currents (Fig. 3) and proportional reduction in power supply noise. The static current per line is 0.126mA, or only 0.158pJ/bit at 2Gb/s.

In order to compensate for process variations, long channel transistors are used in the pre-emphasis circuit delay cell for “0” to “1” or “1” to “0” signal transition detection. For example, a SS process corner results in longer delays for additional pre-emphasis on the driver output whereas with FF corners, the output requires less pre-emphasis and the overall delay is shorter. The variation of SF and FS corners falls between FF and SS corners. ±18% delay variation is achieved, compared to the ±28% in conventional single-ended VM static bus. At the receiver end, the termination transistor (RB) is biased in the linear region with an overdrive voltage ~ Vdd/2 to minimize resistance deviation caused by Vth variation. The worst case variation is less than 6.4%, indicating a ±8mV change in the 125mV signal swing. Similarly, the Vdd/2 gate bias at the SA inputs helps build a large (Vgs-Vth) overdrive and makes the SA less sensitive to offset.

III. EXPERIMENT RESULTS

A. Bus Architecture

Fig. 4 shows the architecture of the 16-bit pre-emphasis driver bus with on-chip pseudo-random bit sequence (PRBS) generator and bit error rate (BER) analyzer. The bus lines are routed in Metal-4 with every differential pair drawn at minimum-pitch (Pmin) of 0.4µm width and 0.4µm spacing as the pre-emphasis and CM techniques proposed herein are able to compensate for RC losses in the long interconnects. The pitch between differential pairs is set at 2µm to reduce the effect of cross-talk noise, resulting in a signal-to-signal pitch of 3.2µm (i.e. 2xPmin per line). One ground line on each side of the overall 16-bit bus is used to shield the low-swing signal.

The lines are 5mm long with three meanders or 10mm long with 6 meanders. Both metal-3 planes and metal-3 to metal-1 with 50% coverage as underlying layers are tested. The inductive effects on these long but narrow interconnects are still dominated by the line resistive behavior [10].

The die micrograph of the prototype chip is shown in Fig. 5. It implements a 16-bit 32Gb/s 5mm-long bus with driver pre-emphasis and an 8-bit 16Gb/s 10mm-long bus with pre-emphasis. A 16-bit single-ended VM static bus with similar bus routing and driver area and power-optimal repeater insertion [11] is also implemented as a benchmark for delay, power and noise performance comparisons. A chip-on-board technique was used to minimize contributions from wire bonding inductances. High-speed signals were directly measured on-chip using high-impedance probes.
B. Performance Evaluation

The intra-bus crosstalk performance of the pre-emphasis bus is shown in Fig. 6. Waveforms on the victim pair at the receiver input are measured and converted to differential signal in oscilloscope. The crosstalk between adjacent lines behaves primarily as common-mode noise. The differential signal on a pair of quiet lines has only 36mV of noise swing in Fig. 6(a), which is 14.4% of the 250mV measured signal swing. Fig. 6(b) shows the eye diagrams of the differential signal at the receiver input and the single-ended signals of the two inputs. A 250mV differential signal swing and 200mV eye opening are observed when all of the 16-bits are switching randomly. The measured driver input to receiver output delay is 590ps, a 28.3% reduction compared to the reference repeater bus.

To analyze the crosstalk on the low-swing bus due to a full-swing bus, a test structure as shown in Fig. 7 is used. This consists of a full-swing 8-bit VM bus crossing orthogonally beneath the 16-bit low-swing bus at the receiver side. The worst case crosstalk occurs when signals switch in the same direction at the same time. Fig. 7 shows the noise is still mainly common-mode and can be ignored due to the small coupling capacitance between different layers.

Both the on-chip BER tester and an Agilent 863130A 3.6Gb/s error performance analyzer (BERT) report immeasurable BER (<10^{-12}) with 15-minute tests. A 230ps clock offset margin is found at BER 10^{-12} (Fig. 8) by adjusting the receiver sampling clock.

Fig. 9 shows the power dissipation measurement at different data activity factors. For activity factors above 0.1, the pre-emphasis bus reduces power by 15.0%-67.5% in comparison to the reference VM repeater bus. The relative power performance of the proposed pre-emphasis technique is lower only for data activity factors under 0.07. In addition, this technique compares favorably against typical current-mode busses as these require activity factors higher than 0.5 (random data) to achieve better power performance.

![Fig. 6. Measured 2Gb/s (a) waveforms and (b) eye-diagrams at the receiver input.](image)

![Fig. 7. Crosstalk from full-swing signals.](image)

![Fig. 8. Bit error rate test.](image)

![Fig. 9. Power dissipation measurement (32Gb/s).](image)
To analyze the power performance of the proposed pre-emphasis bus in a real application, a time-based Alpha 21264 processor simulator program [12] was modified to extract data activity profiles on instruction and data (i.e. load/store) streams. A total of 100 million 32-bit instructions and 100 million 32-bit data are collected for benchmarks from the SPECInt2000 test suite. Fig. 10 shows the accumulated data activity profiles of data address (a) and instruction address (b) patterns from the GCC benchmark (i.e. C Programming Language Compiler). Data bus (act=0.121) and address bus (act=0.352) exhibit the same uniform activity distributions as data address bus. The application of pre-emphasis can save 52.1% of power on the instruction bus, 13.2% on the data address bus, and 20.4% on the data bus. Pre-emphasis only saves 1.4% of power on the instruction address bus, but the instruction address bus exhibits high switching activity for the lower order bits, which indicates a higher spatial locality amongst the address streams since instructions are usually stored in adjacent locations of memory. A bus scheme with pre-emphasis on lower order bits and traditional VM bus on higher order bits can be proposed to take advantage of this high spatial locality, but the delay latency difference between the two techniques need to be adjusted.

IV. DISCUSSION

Delay, throughput, power, area, and noise are all important performance metrics to be considered in on-chip signaling methodologies. This work explores and applies communication techniques such as pre-emphasis to on-chip signaling while achieving various design trading-offs. Similar to optimization of SRAM designs [13], delay or power performances are improved by trading off noise margin or signal swing, while a degradation of these metrics is allowable to improve the signal performance. This work was demonstrated in a 0.25μm CMOS technology with an aggregate data rate of 32Gb/s over 5-10mm long lossy interconnects.
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