To: Dr. Michael Devetsikiotis, Director of Graduate Program

From: The ECE Qualifying Review Committee Members

Date: ________________________________

Re: ________________________________ ID # ____________________________

Student name

By majority vote, the above named student:

_____ should be allowed to continue in the ECE PhD Program without conditions. (unconditional pass)

_____ should be allowed to continue in the ECE PhD Program under the conditions listed below. State anticipated date by which conditions should be met. (conditional pass)

_____ should not be allowed to continue in the ECE PhD Program. Comments needed. (fail)

Comments/Conditions: ______________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________________

Required Signatures:

Continue Unconditionally Continue Conditionally Do Not Continue

_______________________________________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________________

• Yes ______ No ______ We have discussed with the above student his/her requirements for financial support. We believe the student's financial needs can be met by the student's own financial resources or by the chair's research funding.

• Yes ______ No ______ We do not expect the above student will need to rely on a Departmental TA for support beyond what is allowed under Departmental/and or University guidelines.
Committee Qualifying Review Guidelines

The purpose of the Qualifying Review is to allow the student's Qualifying Review Committee Members to evaluate the student early in her/his program, and to recommend to the Director of Graduate Programs whether the student should continue in the program. The committee recommendation should represent the majority of the members.

The attached form must be completed by the Qualifying Review Committee of each PhD student before the end of the student's third term of enrollment in the PhD program. It must be returned to the ECE Graduate Office with the signatures of all ECE members on the student's Qualifying Review Committee. The recommendation each member is asked to give should be based on the overall potential for the student to successfully complete the remainder of her/his program. The committee is encouraged to meet as a group with the student and ask whatever questions they deem necessary to help them make the evaluation. The student should be asked to present to the Committee the results of the required individual studies course. The evaluation should include the student's academic performance to-date, including special consideration of the work the student has performed since entering the PhD program.

GPA
The average GPA of PhD students in the ECE Department is over 3.7, and very few students have successfully completed the program with less than a 3.5. Therefore, the Committee should address their rationale to support continuation for any student who has less than a 3.5, particularly during her/his PhD work. This does NOT mean that each and every PhD student with less than 3.5 gpa should be rejected. It DOES mean that the academic potential of a student with a GPA of less than 3.5 should be carefully evaluated by the Committee.

POTENTIAL FOR RESEARCH
The Committee should make a judgment on whether the student has the potential to perform high-quality research. The Committee should assess the student's written and oral reports of the individual studies/research course. Evaluation of the student's performance in this course should provide valuable information on the student's work habits, ability to work independently, and ability to communicate.

FINANCIAL NEEDS OF THE STUDENT
The Committee should discuss with the student her/his financial plans for the remainder of the student's period of study. What financial support is the student expected to require for the remainder of the period of study? How does the student expect to meet these needs? Is the student expecting TA support? (The general rule is that PhD students should not be a TA for more than one year, and that those who have completed one year will be given a lower priority for appointments.) If the student expects RA support, can this support be reasonably expected?